	Application No.			Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Int rview Summ	mary	09/754,116		BOHNERT ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		VINH P NGUYEN		2829	
All Participants:		Status of Application	on:		
(1) <u>VINH P NGUYEN</u> .		(3)			
(2) <u>Mr. Ream</u> .		(4)			
Date of Interview: 12 December 2003		Time:			
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	☐ Applic	cant's representative)			
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed:					
Claims discussed:					
21,25-27 and 30-31					
Prior art documents discussed:					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Examiner discussed with Mr. Ream about making some Examiner's amendment)					rity. (see
Part III.					
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a significant did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	cation. Ti separate	he examiner will provide record of the substance	a writte	n summary of tinterview, since	he substance
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			